LEE PATENT SERUICES

PAGE 01

# RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JAN 2 2 Zuu-

Applicants:

Boyle, et al.

781-438-8115

Attorney Docket:

60.1336/1551

Serial No.:

09/881,333

Art Unit:

3627

Date Filed:

6/14/2001

Examiner:

Hewitt, James M.

Invention:

Low-Loss Inductive Couplers for use in Wired Pipe Strings

VIA FACSIMILE:

703-872-9306

SHEET 1 of 13

THIS TRANSMITTAL IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE ADDRESSEE, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE RECIPIENT OF THIS TRANSMITTAL IS NOT THE ADDRESSEE, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AT (781) 438-8112. THANK YOU.

Director for Patents, Attention: Office of Petitions PO Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

January 22, 2004

## REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF PETITION TO REVIVE (DUPLICATE PROVIDED)

In the above-identified patent application, a first Petition (a Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment), was denied by the Petitions Office on October 15, 2003. A second Petition (a Petition for Revival of an Application Abandoned Unintentionally), was filed on November 6, 2003. In response to a telephone request for status, Supervisory Examiner Lynne Browne of Group 3679 said that neither Petition was found in the Examiner's file. The Supervisory Examiner found the first Petition and returned it to the Examiner's file.

A copy of the second Petition, stamped "DUPLICATE" (11 sheets), and a copy of the applicant's return postcard showing that the second Petition was received by the PTO on November 10, 2003, follows this letter. The original second Petition includes a certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Applicants respectfully request that the Petition for Revival of an Application Abandoned Unintentionally be entered as being timely filed, and that the Petition be favorably considered.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Lee

Agent for Applicant US Reg. No. 33,942

Lee Patent Services 48 Summer Street Stoneham, MA 02180-1925, USA

Tel: 781-438-8112 FAX: 781-438-8115

1410\113\Request-Entry-of-Petition-to-Revive-Duplicate-Provided.doc

FILF

1410/113/2)

60.1336/1551

PTC/S8/64 (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0691-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control.

UNINTENTIONALLY	Y UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)	OR PATENT ABANDON	60.1336/1551
First named inventor:	Boyle, et al.		3627 Hewitt, James M.
Application No.;	09/881,333	Art Unit:	3627
Filed:	06/14/2001	Examiner:	Hewitt, James M.
Title:	Iow-Loss Inductive Cou	uplers for use in W	ired Pipe Strings
Attention: Office of Pet Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Pate P.O. Box 1450 Alexandrla, VA 22313- FAX: (703) 308-6916	ents		
NOTE: If info	rmation or assistance is needed in mation at (703) 305-9282.	completing this form, please	contact Petitions
notice of action by the	pplication became abandoned for fi	itk Office. The date of shand	compart in the day offer the
exburged agreen the l	period set for reply in the Office noti	ice or action plus an extension	ons of time
actually obtained.	Elicant HEREBY PETITIONS FO	ice or action plus an extension	ons of time
APP  NOTE: A gra  (1)  (2)	remod sat for reply in the Office not	ce or action plus an extension R REVIVAL OF THIS APPLIFUS BLANKS:  fee -required for all utility and the design applications: and	CATION
NOTE: A gra (1) (2) (3)  1. Petition fee	LICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOI Intable petition requires the followin Petition fee; Reply and/or issue fee; Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer filed before June 8, 1995; and for a	ce or action plus an extension of the second plus an extension of the second plus and plus	ons of time CATION  nd plant applications
NOTE: A gra  (1) (2) (3)  (4) 3  1. Petition fee	LICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOI ntable petition requires the followin Petition fee; Reply and/or issue fee; Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer filed before June 8, 1995; and for a Statement that the entire delay was	ce or action plus an extension of the second plus an extension of the second plus and second plus an extension plus an e	ons of time CATION  nd plant applications
APP  NOTE: A gra  (1)   (2)   (3)  (4) :  1. Petition fee  Small entity-fe  W Other than so  2. Reply and/or fee  A. The reply a the form of the form of the form of the sound is entity is entity.  B. The issue	LICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR Intable petition requires the following Petition fee; Reply and/or issue fee; Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer filed before June 8, 1995; and for a Statement that the entire delay was see \$	ce or action plus an extension of the control of th	cation  cation  nd plant applications

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.137. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 23313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stap Patition Commissionar for Patients P.O. Rev 1450. Alexandria VA 23323-1450. ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PAGE 03

PTO/88/64 (08-03)

Approved for use 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

	/////
3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	UUPLICA
Since this utility/plant application was filed on	or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
<ul> <li>A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 other than a small entity) disclaiming the requ</li> </ul>	CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or
tiling of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137	ired reply from the due date for the required reply until the (b) was unintentional. [NOTE. The United States Patent and mation if there is a question as to whether either the r 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP
WARNING: Information on this form may be included on this form. Provide credit ca	ecome public. Credit card information should not rd information and authorization on PTO-2038.
11-6-03 Date	Lohn Cle
	Signature
Telephone Number: (781) 438–8112	John L. Lee
	Typed or printed name Lee Patent Services 48 Summer Street
Enclosures: 🔀 Fee Payment \$1330	Address Stoneham, MA 02180
Reply ("Response")	Address
☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form	·
Additional sheets containing stater  Other:	nents establishing unintentional delay ("Remarks")
CERTIFICATE OF MAILIN	IG OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
☑ deposited with the United States Postal Ser	vice on the date shown below with sufficient postage as Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents,
transmitted by facsimile on the date shown (703) 308-6916.	below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at
11- La - 0-3 Date	Susan S. Filleul
	Type or printed name of person signing certificate



#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Boyle, et al.

Attorney Docket:

60.1336/1551

HEGEIVED CHITRAL FAX CENTER

Serial No.:

09/881,333

Art Unit:

3627

JAN 2 2 2004

Date Filed:

6/14/2001

Examiner:

Hewitt, James M.

Invention:

Low-Loss Inductive Couplers for use in Wired Pipe Strings

**OFFICIAL** 

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

·

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper, along with any other papers referred to as being attached or enclosed, is being deposited on the date shown below with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Susan L Filleul

November 6, 2003

Susan Filleul

#### REMARKS ON

## PETITION TO REVIVE UNDER 1.137(b), UNINTENTIONAL DELAY

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully petitions for revival under 1.137(b), (unintentional delay) of the above-mentioned patent application.

01/22/2004

15:57





### Corrected Copy of Reply

DUPLICATE

A reply "Response to Restriction Requirement - Corrected" is enclosed herewith. The Response is corrected in respect to administrative matter only, as discussed below.

#### Petition Fee Required under 37 CFR 1.17(m)

A petition fee in amount \$1,330 (large entity) is enclosed herewith.

#### Statement Attesting Personal Knowledge of Mailing

As required under 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3), John L. Lee hereby attests personal knowledge of mailing of "Response to Restriction Requirement" on October 16, 2002.

#### Statement that the Entire Delay was Unintentional

John L. Lee hereby attests that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the due date of a grantable petition pursuant to CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

#### Support for Statement that the Entire Delay was Unintentional

1. "Response to Restriction Requirement" Not in Hands of Examiner.

The application went abandoned because, although the communications of October 16, 2002, (Response to Restriction Requirement), and February 28, 2003, (Duplicate Copy of Response to Restriction Requirement as Requested by Examiner) were received by the PTO on October 21, 2002, and February 28, 2003, respectively, neither were in the hands of the Examiner on May 7, 2003. The "Petition to Obtain Withdrawal of Holding of Abandonment", was filed on the advice of Examiner Hewitt received in a telephone conference initiated by Examiner Hewitt on May 12, 2003. The Petition was mailed on May 16, 2002,

01/22/2004 15:57

DUPLICATE

and the matter lay in the PTO's hands until the "Decision on Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment - Petition Denied" issued on October 15, 2003. Thus, the delay from the due date of the reply, October 15, 2002, until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

#### 2. Petition to Obtain Withdrawal of Holding of Abandonment

The "Petition to Obtain Withdrawal of Holding of Abandonment", based on certificate of mailing, and mailed May 16, 2003, was denied as being incomplete for lack of a statement under 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3) from John L. Lee attesting personal knowledge of mailing of "Response to Restriction Requirement" mailed October 16, 2002. This omission was unintentional.

It is believed that a statement attesting personal knowledge of mailing of the "Response to Restriction Requirement" on October 16, 2002, may be required for the present petition. Accordingly, a statement attesting personal knowledge of mailing is included above in this petition.

#### 3. Response to Restriction Requirement

The "Response to Restriction Requirement - Corrected" enclosed herewith is a corrected copy of the "Response to Restriction Requirement" mailed October 16, 2002. The Response is corrected in respect to administrative matter only, including the mailing date, the PTO address, and more significantly the errors that caused the response mailed October 16, 2002, to be untimely filed, and that in turn caused the application to go abandoned.

A first error was failure to include a petition for a one-month extension of time. It was believed (incorrectly) that October 16, 2002, the date "Response to Restriction Requirement" was mailed, was the due date. In fact, the due date was October 15, 2002. Normally, this would be triggered a conditional petition for a one-month extension of time. But in this case a first sentence "However, this conditional petition for extension of time is being made to provide for the possibility that the need for a petition for extension of time has been overlooked"

01/22/2004 15:57



97

was omitted from the Summary section of the Response. The omitted first sentence should have preceded the last sentence in the Summary section of the Response, the sentence that reads "If additional fees are required for the timely consideration of this application, please charge deposit account no. 120914". The intent was to include a request for a conditional petition for extension of time. Omission of the first sentence was unintentional.

The first error is addressed by adding the omitted first sentence to the second paragraph under "Summary of the Response" in "Response to Restriction Requirement - Corrected".

A second error was a failure to delete "DRAFT" from the header of "Response to Restriction Requirement" mailed October 16, 2002. The word "DRAFT" was used in draft documents used in correspondence with the inventor. Failure to delete the word "DRAFT" before the final version was mailed to the PTO on October 16, 2002, was unintentional.

The second error is addressed by removing the word "DRAFT" from the header in "Response to Restriction Requirement - Corrected".

#### SUMMARY

Applicant respectfully petitions for revival of the above-mentioned patent application under 1.137(b), unintentional delay, and that the "Response to Restriction Requirement - Corrected" be entered. A petition fee in amount \$1,330 (large entity) is enclosed herewith.

It is believed that no extension of time is required. However, this conditional petition for extension of time is being made to provide for the possibility that the need for a petition for an extension of time has been overlooked. If additional fees are required for the timely consideration of this petition, please charge deposit account no. 12-0914.

01/22/2004 15:57



Grant of this petition, entry of "Response to Restriction Requirement -Corrected", and consideration of the application in respect to elected claims 1-10 are respectfully requested.

DUPLICATE

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Lee

Registration No. 33,942

**MAILING ADDRESS** Lee Patent Services 48 Summer Street Stoneham, MA 02180-1925 781-438-8112

1410\113\Remarks-Petition-to-Revive- 60.1336-1551,doc